

4/30/2013

To whom may concerns:

Keep our Rated material

off ABC, CBS, FOX and NBC

thank you

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Gloria Rodriguez L.

Dear Mr. Genachowski:

I would like to ask you as the chairman of the FCC to oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on public airwaves.

As parents and grandparents we find it hard to stop the decline to vulgarity bombarding our children and grandchildren, and we need you to help us. We feel it is for the good of our future generations to put some boundaries to protect them and us also.

Thanks for your consideration of our thoughts in this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. Johnny Jackson

Johnny and Rosemary Jackson
5510 Scarlet Ridge Dr.
Arlington, TN 38002

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

4.30.13

we dont want ~~no~~ R-rated Content on the
regular networks ABC, CBS, Fox, NBC

Abigail Rubio
528 Sylvester St SE Kentwood MI
49540

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 30th,
2013

To Whom It Concerns,

I'm writing about children, not
wanting them to watch nudity, sex,
and profane language. I would
appreciate to be off the channels of
ABC, CBS, Fox and NBC to be off the
air.

Yours Sincerely
Ms. Linda DeBae
411 GARLAND ST SE
RENTWOOD, MICHIGAN
49548-7669

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Our T.V. shows need to be
more family friendly with less
swearing and nudity

Thank You
Becki Salazar
4-30-13

28 April, 2013

Kristine P. Ralphs
233 South 100 West
American Fork, UT 84003

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

re: GN Docket No. 13-86

Dear Sirs,

I wanted to write and express my concern about the loosening of television standards that has come up before you. I strongly object to further loosening of the standards and guidelines. Television prime-time is a time when families should be able to watch a program together without being exposed to explicit sexual content and vulgar language.

It is my opinion that the guidelines for moral acceptability should not be changed. Thank you very much.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Kristine P. Ralphs".

Kristine P. Ralphs

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Commissioner,

It has come to my attention that the regulations on television are being changed to allow nudity and profane language. This announcement is alarming to the family unit especially in a home setting. I highly protest this change, I do however support the freedom of speech and would accept these changes if warnings were all on channels and could be blocked by any viewers. Please note if this change is allowed, television viewership will decrease. Freedom of speech does not include the right to force viewers to be exposed to nudity and profanity. I DO NOT support this proposed change.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Jensen

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 26, 2013
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S W
Washington, D. C. 20554

To Whom It May Concern

Our Children and young adults are subject to far too much exposure to nudity
And graphic language. The only reason swear words are used is because
those

Persons using them have not taken the time to learn proper language and
words

So they rely on using filth. In other words it shows a lack of education.

They

Then compound their poor education by using nudity to shock so as to
say look

I don't know how to write a proper story so look and see what I am
trying to

Portray since I need to shock you with nudity to get over my story line.

Yes you

Get the point I'm stupid and I need to take you down to my uneducated
level so

You will see since I don't know how to write a good story!!!

So by giving the un-educated what they want the FCC only shows it is
just as un-educated as those who want nothing left to

Tear down our youth so we can all **look stupid !!!**

D. Kirk Jensen
801 East Holly Ave.
Murray, Utah 84107

Copy: Senator Hatch & Lee

John C. Stitzel
13559 N. 75th Street
Longmont, Colorado 80503-9219

April 30, 2013

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: GN Docket No.13-86


Dear Commission members,

For decades the Commission has governed and controlled the use of the Public Airways in a manner that has resulted in programming free of indecent, prurient, and outright pornographic content since its inception. The majority of American people regard the use of expletives, nudity, and explicit sexual situations as abhorrent, and that such expletives, nudity and sexual situations must not be heard on broadcast radio nor seen on broadcast television. To allow such content to be seen and /or heard is detrimental to the well-being of the population at large, most particularly to the eyes and ears of children.

The proposed rulemaking in this docket would change that. To treat isolated expletives in a manner other than is now done (*Pacifica Foundation, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 2 FCC Rcd 2698, 2699 (1987) ("If a complaint focuses solely on the use of expletives, we believe that . . . deliberate and repetitive use in a patently offensive manner is a requisite to a finding of indecency.")?) would expose the general public to objectionable language whenever listening to radio or watching television, in many cases directly contrary to their explicit beliefs that such language should not in any case be used, especially hearing range of children. It would be an affront to common decency. Parents would not be able to prevent such language from being heard, inasmuch as the language would be allowed at any time of the day or night if this policy were changed.

Isolated non-sexual nudity is likewise offensive to the majority, and should be treated in the same manner as isolated expletives. An example of this would be the "wardrobe malfunction" incident in a recent Super Bowl That was a deliberate exposure of a female breast, but even unintended nudity should not be allowed.

As a former broadcaster I, therefore, urge the Commission to leave the rules on expletives and non-sexual nudity as they now are.


John C. Stitzel
13559 N. 75th St.
Longmont, CO 80503-219

John C. Stitzel
13559 N. 75th Street
Longmont, Colorado 80503-9219

April 30, 2013

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: GN Docket No.13-86


Dear Commission members,

For decades the Commission has governed and controlled the use of the Public Airways in a manner that has resulted in programming free of indecent, prurient, and outright pornographic content since its inception. The majority of American people regard the use of expletives, nudity, and explicit sexual situations as abhorrent, and that such expletives, nudity and sexual situations must not be heard on broadcast radio nor seen on broadcast television. To allow such content to be seen and /or heard is detrimental to the well-being of the population at large, most particularly to the eyes and ears of children.

The proposed rulemaking in this docket would change that. To treat isolated expletives in a manner other than is now done (*Pacifica Foundation, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 2 FCC Rcd 2698, 2699 (1987) ("If a complaint focuses solely on the use of expletives, we believe that . . . deliberate and repetitive use in a patently offensive manner is a requisite to a finding of indecency.")?) would expose the general public to objectionable language whenever listening to radio or watching television, in many cases directly contrary to their explicit beliefs that such language should not in any case be used, especially hearing range of children. It would be an affront to common decency. Parents would not be able to prevent such language from being heard, inasmuch as the language would be allowed at any time of the day or night if this policy were changed.

Isolated non-sexual nudity is likewise offensive to the majority, and should be treated in the same manner as isolated expletives. An example of this would be the "wardrobe malfunction" incident in a recent Super Bowl That was a deliberate exposure of a female breast, but even unintended nudity should not be allowed.

As a former broadcaster I, therefore, urge the Commission to leave the rules on expletives and non-sexual nudity as they now are.


John C. Stitzel
13559 N. 75th St.
Longmont, CO 80503-219

John C. Stitzel
13559 N. 75th Street
Longmont, Colorado 80503-9219

April 30, 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

RE: GN Docket No.13-86


Dear Commission members,

For decades the Commission has governed and controlled the use of the Public Airways in a manner that has resulted in programming free of indecent, prurient, and outright pornographic content since its inception. The majority of American people regard the use of expletives, nudity, and explicit sexual situations as abhorrent, and that such expletives, nudity and sexual situations must not be heard on broadcast radio nor seen on broadcast television. To allow such content to be seen and /or heard is detrimental to the well-being of the population at large, most particularly to the eyes and ears of children.

The proposed rulemaking in this docket would change that. To treat isolated expletives in a manner other than is now done (*Pacifica Foundation, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 2 FCC Rcd 2698, 2699 (1987) ("If a complaint focuses solely on the use of expletives, we believe that . . . deliberate and repetitive use in a patently offensive manner is a requisite to a finding of indecency.")?) would expose the general public to objectionable language whenever listening to radio or watching television, in many cases directly contrary to their explicit beliefs that such language should not in any case be used, especially hearing range of children. It would be an affront to common decency. Parents would not be able to prevent such language from being heard, inasmuch as the language would be allowed at any time of the day or night if this policy were changed.

Isolated non-sexual nudity is likewise offensive to the majority, and should be treated in the same manner as isolated expletives. An example of this would be the "wardrobe malfunction" incident in a recent Super Bowl That was a deliberate exposure of a female breast, but even unintended nudity should not be allowed.

As a former broadcaster I, therefore, urge the Commission to leave the rules on expletives and non-sexual nudity as they now are.


John C. Stitzel
13559 N. 75th St.
Longmont, CO 80503-219

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
MAY - 6 2013
FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—~~_____~~
~~_____~~

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Richard A. Myers

Printed Name: RICHARD A. MYERS
Address: P.O. Box 2045
COOLIDGE, AZ
85128

Received & Inspected

MAY - 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 28, 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

To: Whom it may concern, regarding Proceedings Number 13-86

The American Family Association reports that the FCC is currently considering allowing more foul language and "brief nudity" on Primetime network TV. I wish to make my opinion known on this issue.

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting".

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during the times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Thank you very much for considering my opinion!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alice Tedrow".

Alice Tedrow

701 Burr Road, Apt. 9

Wauseon, Ohio 43567

Received & Inspected
MAY - 6 2013
FCC Mail Room

April 29, 2013

To Whom it may concern,

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.


Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Also, the TV show "American Dad" is completely unacceptable for young viewers or any viewer for that matter.

Recently the show "The Bible" received great reviews and had some of the highest ratings during that time. When will Hollywood and the FCC see that people are hungry for something authentic that lifts the human spirit? I am weary of the same old immorality and tearing down the family and the human person that is portrayed by many programs on tv today and has been for many years now.

If the above mentioned standards are changed and allow even greater immorality on the tv I will be contacting my local cable company and discontinuing the service. There are plenty of other options to receive information today other than tv.

Thank you for reading this letter and taking seriously your role in providing healthy programs that nurture the human spirit.

Sincerely,

Rev. John Short

Received & Inspected
MAY - 6 2013
FCC Mail Room

April 29, 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St SW
Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communications Commission---


Please DO NOT drop the current broadcast decency standards that ban the explicit profanity and "non-sexual" nudity. The Federal Communications Commission is already not stayed with-in the guidelines and now you want them relaxed, so you can say your with-in the guidelines.

What is so great about showing frontal female nudity??? Why don't we ever see frontal male nudity??? God gave us clothes to wear to cover up our bodies when we're out in public. Please don't down grade women or men by showing female or male nudity!!

We're quick to take GOD out of schools, government, TV—but the minute some disaster (minor or major) happens, everyone quick to asks "Where was God when this happened"? Why would God let something this tragic happen? Society has removed God---God hasn't removed himself.

Please tighten the current broadcast decency law prohibiting expletive and nudity. Put morals back into the TV shows, so families can spend time watching TV.

Sincerely—



Karen Kopriva
41577 169th St
Raymond, SD 57258

B

Ann Boutross
68 Marine Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11209-6702

Received & Inspected

MAY 06 2013

4-30-13
FCC Mail Room

Sir/Madam;

Not only are the Commercials
ridiculously loud, but offensive.

The main purpose of this letter /
is to ask - why is there that
LOUD BANGING behind EVERY
show, whether old movies or

all other shows. Is this a UNION THING?

We try to listen to dialogue & enjoy -

BUT that booming & background NOISE
forces us to keep moving the channel -

Naturally there is always some kind
of background on the westerns and
anything to do with guns -

Please respond. I've been dying to do
this for SO LONG

Sincerely

Ann Boutross